

FOR DISCUSSION					
Count	Aquifer Exemption (Approved and Still Under Process)		Exemption Criteria	Status as of Thursday, August 19, 2021	Comments
1	Round Mountain	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 02/09/2017 (Revised: 07/02/2018)
2	Fruitvale	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 02/09/2017 (Revised: 07/02/2018)
3	Tejon	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 02/09/2017
4	Mount Poso	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 04/17/2017 (Revised: 10/10/2018)
5	Jasmin	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 09/28/2017
6	Kern Front (Vedder)	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 08/30/2018
7	Elk HillsPhase 1	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 03/29/2018
8	Elk Hills Phase 2	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 03/29/2018
9	Poso Creek	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 05/04/2018
10	Kern Front (Chanac)	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 08/30/2017
11	Cymric	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 09/28/2018
12	McKittrick	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 09/28/2018
13	San Ardo/McCool Ranch	25		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 11/21/2018
14	Arroyo Grande	24		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 4/30/2019.
15	Edison Phase 1	20		Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/15/2019.
16	Lost Hills 1	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/21/2019.
17	North Belridge	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 6/7/2019.
18	Midway-Sunset (Deeper Formations)	20	(a), ( c)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 5/31/2019.
19	Edison - Phase 2	20	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 7/1/2019.
20	South Belridge - Western Area	18	(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 3/13/2020.
21	Coalinga/Jacalitos		(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA	DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures 3/8/2021.
22	Lynch Canyon - Lanigan	18	(a), (b)(1)	Submitted to EPA - DOGGR responding to EPA questions	<p>09/04/19 Final Transmittal letter at DOGGR HQ for signing.</p> <p>10/29/19 Uploaded entire package for Agency review to Box.com</p> <p>2/26/2020 Submitted Package to EPA with transmittal letter.</p> <p>04/02/20 Working with operator to answer questions from US EPA.</p> <p>05/07/20 Should be able to share with SWB the answers to USEPA questions by 05/15/20</p> <p>05/21/20 - Division has completed work with operator for answer to USEPA questions. Working with HQ to complete ShapeFile of AE.</p> <p>05/27/20 - Received ShapeFile for area without the current exempt area. Working with Division management to share responses with SWB.</p> <p>06/18/20 - Responses to US EPA questions shared with SWB.</p> <p>09/10/20 - Company went through a change in ownership and is working on updating the responses to USEPA.</p> <p>11/09/20 - Shared responses to USEPA with SWB</p> <p>03/15/21 - Returned responses to USEPA</p> <p>04/21 - Recieved follow up questions from USEPA</p> <p>05/13/21 - Working with operator to provide answers to USEPA follow up questions. GIS layers have been gathered. Working to update maps.</p> <p>06/14/21 - Shared latest resonses to USEPA with SWB.</p> <p>07/07/21 - Entire package ready to send out. Will share with SWB and then US EPA.</p> <p>08/13/21 - Received updated map from operator. Will share with US EPA by 08/17</p> <p>09/10/21 - Shared updated Map 2 and will review GIS issue on Monday (09/13) with internal GIS expert</p> <p>11/12/21 - Recieved direction from US EPA on final map updates. District to share updated files with USEPA and SWB by 11/19/21</p> <p>12/14/21 District is compiling final documents and updates.</p> <p>01/18/22 - Operator contractor is back from vacation and updating Shapefiles.</p>
23	Sespe	18	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Final Concurrence Letter. District finalizing AE document for submission.	<p>Coastal waiting for GIS documentation from operator (Carbon California), expected on mid-April 2019. District received updated Vertices Map and is n</p> <p>5/13/2019: Will contact GIS for new shapefile before sending out next week.</p> <p>7/7/2019 Waiting for review by HQ DOGGR.</p> <p>07/18/19 Final Transmittal letter from the State to USEPA ready for signature.</p> <p>08/01/19 Final Transmittal letter at DOGGR HQ for signing.</p> <p>10/29/19 Uploaded entire package for Agency review to Box.com</p> <p>03/08/21 - Provided answers to HQ on package</p> <p>06/09/21 - Verified operators in Sespe oilfield with HQ.</p> <p>11/12/21 - Package shared with USEPA</p> <p>12/13/21 - Comments received from US EPA. District will work with the operator to respond to comments.</p>
24	Cat Canyon	7	(a), (b)(1)	Public hearing complete, comments answered and reviewed.	<p>5/13/2019: Preparing documentation to be posted to our website. District is working on handouts (FAQs) for hearing.</p> <p>6/5/2019 Held hearing</p> <p>7/7/2019 Working on responses in Legal and ORLA units. Approximately 100 unique comments (received 100s of form letters). District has not received</p> <p>01/21/20 District is working with the 3rd party contractor to complete the technical comment answers.</p> <p>02/06/20 All comments have been answered and reviewed by the District, Legal, and SWB. Awaiting upper management direction.</p> <p>03/19/2020 Comments and responses have been passed along to WB for reiew.</p> <p>05/21/20 SWB comments have been received. Working with Legal to finalize document.</p> <p>06/15/21 - Reviewing latests comments and letters from NGOs</p> <p>09/10/21 - Working with HQ review Conduit Analysis proposal from SWB</p> <p>12/13/21 - Well list and documents have been shared with the operators for the conduit analysis.</p> <p>01/18/22 - District has begun to receive data from operators.</p>
25	Oxnard	5	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Preliminary Concurrence Letter. Preparing for public hearing.	<p>An integrated AE package (with WB's questions and responses) is being finalized with operator. To be sent to EPA for informal review.</p> <p>5/13/2019: Still with the operator, has been occupied with other priorities.</p> <p>7/7/2019 Prepared responses to EPA preliminary comments. Updating tables and figures. Also waiting on operator to review changes and approve e</p> <p>07/18/19 Application ready for SWB review prior to submitting to USEPA for an informal preliminary review.</p> <p>08/20/19 Application submitted to SWB for review.</p> <p>10/10/19 DOGGR received USEPA's Preliminary Review and is currently working on answers and updates. SWB will have an opportunity to review and</p> <p>01/21/20 District is working on completing public comment period documents and setting up a public comment hearing.</p> <p>02/06/20 District is working on completing an enhanced water well survey.</p> <p>04/02/20 District has shared preliminary State responses to US EPA questions with SWB and is working with operators to complete responses.</p> <p>09/09/20 Division Engineer has crafted a first draft of public documents. Division management is reviewing</p> <p>05/13/21 - Working with CalGEM management and SWB to determine path forward with conduit analysis.</p> <p>05/14/21 - Meeting with OLRA, PAO, DOC Public Outreach Coordinator, and District representatives to plan virtual public hearing.</p> <p>07/07/21 - Potential dates ready to discuss with CalGEM/SWB/US EPA management.</p> <p>08/13/21 - Public comment period postponed to October</p> <p>12/13/21 - Well list and documents have been shared with the operators for the conduit analysis.</p>

26	Holser	5	(a), (b)(1)	WB issued Preliminary Concurrence Letter. Preparing for public hearing.	<p>AE package sent to WB for review 4/3/19. District has updated the package into one document, ready to send to US EPA for an informal review.</p> <p>5/13/2019: Shared informal with EPA on Friday 5/10</p> <p>7/8/2019 Working on responses to EPA comments. Also change in groundwater basin map may require update to that figure.</p> <p>07/18/19 Operator has updated the figures and is now completing table data cleanup and responses to USEPA comments.</p> <p>10/17/19 DOGGR shared the complete updated package with SWB.</p> <p>10/28/19 PG stamped updated AE package shared with SWB.</p> <p>01/21/20 District is working on completing public comment period documents and setting up a public comment hearing.</p> <p>04/02/20 District shared an updated AE package with SWB.</p> <p>06/05/20 - Working with Department Outreach Coordinator for online Public Hearing options.</p> <p>09/09/20 Division Engineer has crafted a first draft of public documents. Division management is reviewing</p> <p>05/14/21 - Meeting with OLRA, PAO, DOC Public Outreach Coordinator, and District representatives to plan virtual public hearing.</p> <p>07/07/21 - Potential dates ready to discuss with CalGEM/SWB/US EPA management.</p> <p>08/13/21 - Public comment period postponed to October</p> <p>09/10/21 - Working with HQ review Conduit Analysis proposal from SWB</p> <p>12/13/21 - Began working with the Department's Technical Outreach Coordinator and the Division's Public Transparency group to kick off the public comment period.</p> <p>01/22/22 - District to share all public documents with SWB in preparation for public comment period.</p>
27	Lompoc	4	(a), (b)(1)	District finalizing AE document after WB review and comments. Preliminary Concurrence expected June 2021.	<p>11/04/19 Coastal District received the PG stamped updated Lompoc AE package and is currently reviewing it.</p> <p>11/13/19 Coastal District shared the updated PG Stamped AE package with SWB for review</p> <p>05/21/20 SWB provided comments back to the Division and the District will review and provide updates.</p> <p>06/05/20 - District is working with the operator to address Water Board comments.</p> <p>06/18/20 - CalGEM and SWB met with operator to discuss SWB comments.</p> <p>09/09/20 District is setting up an internal meeting to discuss water well issues.</p> <p>10/12/20 Received communication from VAFB about water well confidential data</p> <p>11/09/20 - Shared Lompoc AE package with SWB</p> <p>01/18/20 - Recieved comments from SWB</p> <p>02/17/21 - Updated AE package received from operator.</p> <p>03/15/21 - Coastal Engineer creating powerpoint to share package with USEPA.</p> <p>04/05/21 - Updated AE package was sent to SWB for review.</p> <p>05/13/21 - Operator provided PG stamped documentation for recent additions to application.</p> <p>07/07/21 - Presentation ready to give to US EPA on 07/15/21</p> <p>08/13/21 - Entire package ready to share with US EPA for informal review</p> <p>10/13/21 - Informal review comments received from US EPA. Operator plans to have responses by 10/15.</p> <p>11/12/21 - District has reviewed responses from Operator and has sent them back to the Operator with minor edits.</p> <p>12/13/21 - Began working with the Department's Technical Outreach Coordinator and the Division's Public Transparency group to kick off the public comment period.</p> <p>01/18/22 - Operator has been working on updating AE package from US EPA informal review. District to work on public comment documents and addi</p>
28	Midway-Sunset II (Tulare)	4	(a),(b) (1)	SWB define/discuss issues with Tulare B & L. Tulare at Operator meeting. Preliminary Concurrence expected June 2021.	<p>Ongoing discussions to finalize exemption criteria [(b)(1) or (c)]. Target Preliminary Concurrence from WB on June 2019.</p> <p>5/13/2019: Meeting scheduled with WZL for 5/14/2019.</p> <p>5/31/2019: (AVZ) Inland responds to qestions/comments submitted to DOGGR from SWB.</p> <p>6/10/2019: WB reviewing the comments.</p> <p>9/16/2019: (WB-JZ) Arranging meeting w/ DOGGR to discuss AE boundary related to (b)(1) condition.</p> <p>10/30/2019: (SWB) Held meeting to define/discuss issues with Tulare B &amp; Lower Tulare that need to be addressed for Preliminary Concurrence to be g</p> <p>11/20/2019:(AVZ) WB responded as they are not in a concurrence with current boundary because the presence of commercial hydrocarbon. WB will p</p> <p>1/20/2020:( AVZ)Operators met with CalGEM to discuss proposed (lower and upper)Tulare boundary.</p> <p>02/5/2020: (AVZ) CalGEM updated WB on discussions they had with the Operators.</p> <p>02/19/2020:(AVZ): Operators seeking follow up meeting on 02/25/2020.</p> <p>04/01/2020:(AVZ) : Reviewing maps submitted by the operators.</p> <p>05/07/2020:(AVZ) : Maps being corrected by operators.</p> <p>06/23/2020:(AVZ): Operators presented proposed revised draft maps to WB and CalGEM.</p> <p>08/17/2020:(AVZ): Waiting on Operators to submit revised maps and data.</p> <p>10/12/2020: (AVZ): Operator communicated the revised application will be submitted soon.</p> <p>10/13/2020:(AVZ): Operator submitted revised AE application.Under review at CalGEM.</p> <p>11/13/2020: (AVZ): Revised application submitted to WB/HQ .</p> <p>12/16/2020:(AVZ): Received comments from WB.</p> <p>01/12/2021:(AVZ): Received response and comments from Operator. Under review at CalGEM.</p> <p>01/29/2021:(AVZ) : Meeting with Operators to discuss missing information and clarification.</p> <p>02/16/2021:(AVZ): Operators updating application.</p> <p>03/02/2021:(AVZ): Received updated application. Under review at Inland before sending to HQ and WB.</p> <p>04/6/2021:(AVZ): Comments to the updated application submitted to WB.</p> <p>06/07/2021:(AVZ): Responded to WB questions.</p> <p>11/10/2021:(AVZ): Conduit analysis discussions with Operators scheduled on 11/17/2021.</p> <p>12/9/2021:(AVZ): Operators continue to work on conduit analysis for 116 wells.</p> <p>1/11/2022:(AVZ): Operator submitted 31 wells conduit analysis. CalGEM reviewing.</p>
29	Kern River	1	(a), (b)(1)	DOGGR recieved draft KR Preliminary Concurrence. Operator updating application. Preliminary Concurrence expected Aug 2021.	<p>Revised capture radius (make use of updated water demand data on some water wells) memo sent to WB 3/25/19.</p> <p>4/04/2019: (XX) Water Board received and reviewing Kern River AE Water Well Capture Radius Analysis.</p> <p>5/13/2019: WB still 1-2 weeks out from draft letter</p> <p>5/29/2019: (MVG) Inland send Memo to SWB regarding Supplemental maps to March 22, 2019 Water Well Capture Analysis memo.</p> <p>6/10 WB is working through draft concurrence letter still working towards June 2019.</p> <p>6/12/2019: (EG w/SWB Legal) DOGGR recieves draft KR Preliminary Concurrence. Under review by DOGGR legal.</p> <p>7/22/2019: (DOGGR Legal) State Water Board is concurring with the proposed exempted area below the C-Silt. The updated draft is a partial concurrence</p> <p>8/28/2019: (MVG) Chevron has completed revision of App.to only extend up to the C-Silt and not above.</p> <p>9/8/2019: (MVG) Organizing a review of revised App. w CVX/WB/DOGGR.</p> <p>9/17/2019: (MVG) DOGGR/CVX/WB met to discuss aspects of revised app. Will make changes as required for WB Review.</p> <p>10/16/2019: (MVG) DOGGR sends to WB app. docs &amp; app. wording describing "Top proposed Exemption" and CVX is modifying application accordingly</p> <p>10/20/2019 :(MVG) Held meeting (11/18/19) with WB, Inland, HQ and CVX on proposed exempt area. CVX group taken the information for their intern</p> <p>12/13/2019: (MVG) Held meeting with WB to convey operator agreement to the proposed boundary by WB, water well survey revised to reflect 1017</p> <p>02/5/2020: Waiting on revised application from Chevron.</p> <p>02/19/2020:(MVG) Received the shapefiles from Chevron. Under review by CalGEM.</p> <p>03/10/2020:(MVG) Operator preparing revised application. Proposed draft map by CalGEM with location of the water wells shared with WB.</p> <p>04/01/2020:(MVG) Operator technical team met with CalGEM team to discuss deliverables.</p> <p>05/20/2020:(MVG): Operator contacted CalGEM for clarification.</p> <p>07/31/2020:(MVG):CalGEM received revised application from Operator.</p> <p>10/07/2020:(MVG): Application submitted to HQ and WB for review. Presentation to WB/HQ on 10/15/2020.</p> <p>11/23/2020:(MVG): CalGEM submitted additional documents required to update the application to Chevron after discussion with WB.</p> <p>12/7/2020: (MVG): Received comments from WB.</p> <p>1/13/2021: (MVG): Chevron presented the reply to the CalGEM and WB comments. Final document expected in next two weeks.</p> <p>1/25/2021: (MVG): Operator technical response forwarded to HQ /WB.</p> <p>2/8/2021: (MVG): Met with Operator to discuss HQ comments.</p> <p>3/1/2021:(MVG): Met with WB and Legal for clarification of Chanac being included in the application and other technical questions related to Chanac</p> <p>03/15/2021:(MVG): Received comments from WB . Operator working on the response.</p> <p>4/27/2021:(MVG): Received supplemental data for WB questions.</p>

30	Mt. Poso (Dorsey Area)	(a), (b)(1)	Preliminary Concurrence expected Aug 2021.	<p>Received AE document 4/2/19 but needed revisions. Sent back 4/11/19. No Change-Waiting for official application.</p> <p>5/16/2019 (WM) DOGGR received application from EnviroTech, returned to operator for further edits.</p> <p>8/12/2019: (WM) EnviroTech submitted revised app#2 to DOGGR on July 18, 2019. DOGGR almost finished reviewing application. Demonstration of H</p> <p>Working with Operator on App Edits.</p> <p>11/01/2019: (CC) District reponses to Jason M. &amp; Bill B. Inquiry. (WTL) establish Operator/DOGGR meeting for Nov 18th to discuss application status a</p> <p>11/20/2019: (WM) Met with consultant 11/15/19 to communicate the urgency to submit documents required to show hydrocarbon distribution on pr</p> <p>documents prior to a follow up meeting with Operator.</p> <p>12/04/2019: (WM) Working with consultant on what additional data, figures, graphs, and diagrams are needed to satisfy criteria for proposed exempt</p> <p>reply from consultant on expected timeline of additioanl analysis that is needed.</p> <p>1/21/2020:(WM) A key well(API :029-12043 Signal Bishop 22-1) with significant hydrocarbon show located outside the AE boundary was identified by o</p> <p>the AE team met consultant on 1/10/2020 to discussed urgency in updating new maps, figures, text etc.</p> <p>02/19/2020: (WM): Consultant working on the project conveyed last week that, they will be submitting the application. As of today,no application rec</p> <p>03/02/2020:(WM) Received updated application. Currently under review by CalGEM. Scheduling meeting with WB to present the application.</p> <p>04/01/2020:(WM): Received application from Operator. Setting up meeting with WB on 04/07/2020 to brief.</p> <p>04/07/2020:(WM):Presented overview of the application to WB . Waiting on the corrections and forward to WB.</p> <p>05/07/2020:(WB): Received feedback from HQ. Working on the corrections before forwarding to WB.</p> <p>05/20/2020:(WM: Application submitted to WB for review on 5/12/2020.</p> <p>07/01/2020:(WM): Planned review by WB and CalGEM scheduled on 7/6/2020.</p> <p>07/06/2020:(WM): Preliminary review with WB and CalGEM completed.</p> <p>08/07/2020: (WM): Received comments and questions from State and Central Valley WB.</p> <p>11/17/2020:(WM): CalGEM met with Operators to discuss delivery of the revised application.</p> <p>12/31/2020: (WM):Received revised documentation from Operator . Under review at CalGEM.</p> <p>1/21/2021: (WM): Communicated to Operator on missing data and information. Awaiting response from Operator.</p> <p>03/03/2021:(WM): Received updated application and response to WB/CalGEM question. Under review at Inland.</p> <p>03/17/2021:(WM) : Updated application submitted to HQ and WB.</p> <p>03/29/2021:(WM): Correction to the updated application submitted to WB/HQ.</p> <p>6/07/2021:(WM): EPA/WB/CalGEM meet to determine and confirmed the application to be standalone. Minutes of the meeting distributed.</p>
31	Lynch Canyon - Santa Margarita	0	No concurrence from the WBs. For phase-out of any existing injection	<p>For phase-out. Met with operator at SWB 10/25/18. Discussion within WB to develop document (letter) to be signed by Harris and Bishop outlining b</p> <p>6/13/2019 Operator met with DOGGR and WB and received approval on proposed wells to convert from Lanigan to D sands for collection of water sa</p> <p>10/17/19 Coastal will be sending a letter requiring the phase out of injection with a reasonable timeline and workplan to acheive compliance.</p> <p>11/13/19 Letter to terminate injection has been sent to Eagle Petroleum.</p> <p>02/06/20 A letter to respond to the operator's response to November letter has been drafted and reviewed by SWB. The letter has been submitted to</p> <p>2/19/2020 Letter sent to operator with details of deliverables and deadlines for water sampling, phase out plan, and alternative contingency plan</p> <p>3/18/2020 Alternative Plan due date extension granted at operators request</p> <p>04/02/20 Operator has requested an extension for 90-days to all request due to low oil prices and COVID-19 issues.</p> <p>05/07/20 Updated letter to Eagle drafted and shared with CalGEM Legal</p> <p>05/18/20 Letter for extension to plan submission and cessation of injection sent to Eagle. All production is currently shut-in. Injection to cease some t</p> <p>08/11/20 - Letter sent to operator formalizing the ceasing of all injection into the Santa Margarita formation.</p>
32	South Belridge - SE Area	0	No concurrence from the WBs. For phase-out of any existing injection	<p>For phase-out. Discussion within WB to develop document (letter) to be signed by Harris and Bishop outlining basis for decision and steps needed for</p> <p>&amp; Game for CEQA discussions on phase-out and re-piping/facilities plans.</p> <p>3/12/2020 Phase Out Plan joint approval letter sent to operator</p> <p>5/13/2021 (CJ) Transition UIC application: Inland District received on 5/10/2021 the Water Boards' comments on Aera's proposed Risk and Mitigation</p> <p>anticipating to provide responses to Water Board comments along with Civil Engineering Stamps by June 11th. CalGEM will add our responses to the</p> <p>7/7/2021: Both Aera and Inland has submitted responses to the latest Waterboard question on the proposed Monitoring Program. Aera met with Cal</p> <p>8/11/2021 (CJ): The Water Board has indicated they will complete their review within about</p> <p>Water Board comments. 9/10/2021 (CJ): The Water Board issued a letter of no objection on August 19, 2021. The PAL was issued</p> <p>UIC transition project, Aera has taken the following actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. located the surface locations for the 8 Groundwater Monitoring (MW) wells and 7 Pressure observation (POW) wells based on the approved Moni</li> <li>2. preparing drilling plans for the GW and POW wells (The latest Kern CEQA permitting delay may impact the drilling of the POW wells in 2022.)</li> <li>3. working on bidding for all major pumping, piping and filter equipment</li> <li>4. All biological and environmental surveys for the proposed injection well pads have been completed.</li> </ol> <p>approved Aera's new project timeline. Following are additional updates from Aera:</p> <p>surface work.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Aera also has permits to drill the observation wells.</li> <li>3. Aera is preparing to submit NOIs to drill the disposal wells, but will discuss CEQA review process with CalGEM.</li> </ol> <p>programs being drafted for 8 Groundwater Monitoring (MW) wells</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Submitted NOIs with CalGEM as lead CEQA agency for 7pPressure observation (POW) wells (hoping for approval soon to get well on the drilling sch</li> <li>3. Bid request sent out for all major pumping, piping and filter equipment</li> <li>4. Full Project Funding is secured 1/13/2022 (CJ) - Following is a list of recent activity by Aera:</li> <li>2. Short-listed two drilling contractors for drilling the 8 Groundwater Monitoring (MW) wells.</li> <li>3. Bids for all major pumping, piping and filter equipment are being reviewed – contracts to be awarded in a few months</li> <li>4. Facility construction bid package to be send out in a few weeks</li> <li>5. NOIs’ for all 75 injection wells will be submitted to CalGEM by early next month</li> </ol>
33	Elk Hills - southeast area	0	No concurrence from the WBs. For phase-out of any existing injection	<p>4/15/2020: (MS):Operator working on application to phase out injection from Upper Tulare.</p> <p>5/13/2021 (CJ) Transition UIC applications: Inland District on 5/8/2021 sent responses to the Water Board regarding their comments on UIC Project No</p> <p>application for 26R WF, UIC Project No. 22800013, and will begin the AOR shortly. 6/9/2021 (CJ) For t</p> <p>the Water Boards are reviewing CalGEM review and draft PAL. For the 26R Stevens WF, UIC Project Nos. 22800013 &amp; 22800017, Inland District is review</p> <p>7/9/2021 (MS) UIC Projects for NW Stevens WF 22800003 &amp; 228000016, rec'd final comments from RWQCB, CalGEM and CRC are working on those. CF</p> <p>CalGEM is performing the AOR and reviewing the application. CRC provided answers to RWQCB initial questions and CalGEM has forwarded these to</p> <p>Stevens, WF, UIC Project No. 22800013. For the NW Stevens WF, UIC Project Nos. 22800003 &amp; 22800016, CalGEM Inland District is working on a second</p> <p>(CJ): 1. 26R Stevens WF, UIC Project No. 22800013: CalGEM Inland District is finished with the AOR and is now responding to RWQCB initial questions</p> <p>Nos. 22800003 &amp; 22800016: CalGEM Inland District is working on the second set of comments from the Water Board, the EDD upload to the WellSTAR</p> <p>follow-meeting including CRC and WB expected thereafter. 10/15/2021 (CJ):</p> <p>addressed AOR problem wells, and provided MOA checklist; CalGEM Inland District responding to RWQCB initial questions, preparing PxP review item</p> <p>Inland is working on second set of comments from WB, EDD upload to WellSTAR form, revising PAL to include WF EOR monitoring methods. Inland me</p> <p>to 6/17/2021 questions; Inland requested monitoring plan from CRC. 11/12/2021 (CJ): 1. 26R Stevens WF, UIC Project No. 22800013 - CalGEM is p</p> <p>comments from WB, revising PAL to include WF EOR monitoring methods; CalGEM to request monitoring plan from CRC including VRR, idle well fluid</p> <p>Project No. 22800013 - CalGEM is preparing responses to WB questions, preparing PxPR items, &amp; reviewing updated info. from CRC. 2. NW Stevens V</p> <p>monitoring plan received from CRC on 12/6. 3. Lower Tulare WD, UIC Project No. 22800022 -CalGEM received application on 11/18 &amp; is working on th</p> <p>District responding to WB questions, preparing PxP review items, and reviewing updated CRC information. 2. NW Stevens WF, UIC Project Nos. 28000</p> <p>plan on 12/6/2021; CalGEM has provided feedback &amp; waiting for CRC response. 3. Lower Tulare WD, UIC Project No. 22800022 - CRC needs to submit</p>

Summary	%
Already approved by EPA (1-20)	21 67
Submitted, waiting for Approval by EPA (21)	1 3
Being prepared for Submission (22-24)	3 10
On Public Comment (-)	0 0
Being prepared for Public Comment (25-26)	2 6
Being reviewed, needing WB Preliminary Concurrence Letter (27-30)	4 13
Not expecting a C. L.; for phase-out of any existing injection (31-32)	3 0

Total 31 100



Count	Additional Aquifer Exemptions		Exemption Criteria CFR 146.4	Status as of Thursday, August 20, 2020
1	Livermore		(a), (b)(1)	Approved by EPA-DOGGR Received ROD and AE enclosures
2	Casmalia		(a), (b)(1)	WB sent comments to District 2/21/19. Proponent and
3	Deer Creek		(a), (b)(1)	District responded to WB questions 1/28/19. Concerns on
4	Round Mountain (South Area)		(a), (b) (1)	Submitted package to WB for review 3/7/19.
5	Northeast Edison		a) b) 1	On pause from operator due to other priority. 4/24/2019. (WM)
6	Lost Hills BPhase 2		(a), (b)(1)	Updated AE document not yet received. No Change-Waiting for
7	Kern Bluff			AE document (from Citadel E&P) not yet received No Change-
8	Blackwell's Corner		a) b)1 or c)	AE document (from E&B Natural Resources) not yet received.
9	North Antelope Hills		a) b)1	7/9/2020:(WM) Received AE application. Under review.
				2/12/2021: (WM): Operator taking water sample for testing.
				10/6/2021:(WM): CalGEM received an updated AE application on
				October 6, 2021 with a number of revisions from previous
10	Placerita			versions. CalGEM will be requesting the operator/consultant to
11	Lynch Canyon - D Sands		a) c)	Sample from 2014 is over 10,000 ppm TDS. New sample to come
				01/18/22 - Formation sample for D-Sands received from